



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,159	01/24/2002	Norman C. Chou	005288.P017	2480
57299	7590 03/09/2006		EXAM	NER
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.			CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
P.O. BOX 19	- •		ADT 10 117	D 4 DCD 3 W 7 CDCD
DENVER, CO 80201-1920			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
		D. 1997 1 () 17 77 00 100 100 1		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/057,159	CHOU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David G. Cervetti	2136
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT- atute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u> November 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed November 30, 2005, have been fully considered.

2. Claims 1-34 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

- 3. The objections to the drawings are withdrawn.
- 4. The objections to claims 24-32 are withdrawn.
- 5. The rejection of claims 26 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn.
- 6. Page 18 of the Remarks, states that the amendments to the specification place claim 34 within statutory subject matter. It is not clear how the amendments have fixed this deficiency of claim 34.
- 7. The rejection of claim 33 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention, i.e. "the reference does not teach or suggest each and every limitations of independent claims", without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
- 9. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/057,159 Page 3

Art Unit: 2136

10. It is respectfully submitted that the instant application appears to admit that it implements Infiniband's teachings, i.e. each subnet is managed by at least one subnet manager, communicating with the management port using SMP (Subnet Management Packets) (pages 2-4). Furthermore, the Management Key provided by Infiniband is used for management purposes. Infiniband clearly teaches using SMPs, including port states (active or not), using a key for authentication, broadcasting port state to refrain from sending SMPs to the management port, a subnet manager residing on a management port, etc. (chapters 14-15).

Specification

11. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "IP" (page 3, line 1). While well known in the art, these terms have not been defined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

12. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

13. Claims 22, 24-26, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims 22 and 24-26, claim 22 states "means for detecting, informing, refraining, receiving, and sending". These limitations are considered non-statutory subject matter because they consist on software code for detecting, informing, refraining, receiving, and sending (page 19, paragraph [43]). The claims are not limited to tangible embodiments. Applicant has invoked USC 112, 6th paragraph by using "means plus function language". In view of page 19, paragraph 43 of the specification

Art Unit: 2136

which describes that the processing logic may comprise software, the fact that it may also be embodied in hardware is not persuasive. Claims 24-26 are rejected based on their dependency from claim 22.

Page 4

Regarding claim 34, claim 34 states "a computer readable medium". The specification recites on page 9: "For example, a machine-readable medium includes read only memory (ROM); random access memory (RAM); magnetic disk storage media; optical storage media; flash memory devices; electrical, optical, acoustical or other form of propagated signals (e.g., carrier waves, infrared signals, digital signals, etc.); etc." (emphasis added). A propagated signal, "electrical, optical, acoustical or other form of propagated signals (e.g., carrier waves, infrared signals, digital signals, etc.); etc" is considered non-statutory subject matter.

14. To expedite a complete examination of the application, the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 (non-statutory) above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of applicant amending these claims to place them within the four statutory categories of invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,159 Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

16. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Infiniband.

Regarding claim 1, Infiniband teaches a system to support management operations associated with an interconnect device, the system comprising (chapter 14): a configuration switch configured to receive an operator command to reset authentication data that facilitates authorization of the management operations from an operator (pages 654, 682-700), and configured to generate a reset signal in response to the operator command; and a port of the interconnect device coupled to the configuration switch, the port configured to maintain the authentication data and to reset the authentication data upon receiving the reset signal from the configuration switch (pages 654, 682-700).

Regarding claim 8, Infiniband teaches to support management operations associated with an interconnect device, the method comprising (chapter 14): receiving a reset signal from a configuration switch at a decoder of a management port, the reset signal indicating that an operator requested a reset of an authentication data that facilitates authorization of the management operations (pages 654, 682-700); and

Art Unit: 2136

resetting a copy of the authentication data, wherein the authentication data is stored in the decoder in response to the reset signal (pages 654-700).

Regarding claims 12, 22, and 34, Infiniband teaches to support management operations associated with an interconnect device, the method comprising (chapter 14): detecting that a reset of authentication data residing in a management port of the interconnect device is required (pages 654-700); informing an operator that the reset is required (pages 654-700); refraining from sending subnet management packets (SMPs) to the management port upon detecting that the reset is required (pages 654-700); receiving a message from the operator that indicates that the authentication data has been reset (pages 654-700); and subsequent to the receipt of the message, sending to the management port an update SMP with a request to set authentication data residing in each unit of the interconnect device to an update value (pages 654-700).

Regarding claim 23, Infiniband teaches an interconnect device to maintain authentication data in a plurality of units, the authentication data facilitating management operations associated with the interconnect device (pages 654-700); a configuration switch coupled to the interconnect device, the configuration switch configured to reset authentication data residing in a management port of the interconnect device (pages 654, 682-700); and a sub-network (subnet) manager coupled to the interconnect device, the subnet manager configured to detect that the reset of authentication data residing in the management port is required (pages 654-700), to inform an operator that the authentication data has been reset (pages 654-700), and to send to the management port an update data packet with a request to set the

authentication data residing in each of the plurality of units of the interconnect device to an update value (pages 654-700).

Regarding claim 33, Infiniband teaches a decoder configured to reset authentication data stored in the decoder based on a reset signal received from a configuration switch, and to receive a management packet from the sub-network (subnet) manager with an update value for the authentication data residing in a plurality of units of an interconnect device (pages 61-114, 641-700); and a subnet management agent configured to receive the management packet from the decoder and to control the update of the authentication data residing in each of the plurality of units (pages 641-700).

Regarding claim 2, Infiniband teaches wherein the port is configured to store the authenticated data together with a set of associated attributes (pages 641-700).

Regarding claim 3, Infiniband teaches wherein: the port is a management port (pages 641-700); the authentication data is a management key (pages 641-700); and the set of associated attributes includes a protection attribute specifying a level of protection required for performing a particular management operation and an expiration attribute controlling expiration of the management key (page 654-658).

Regarding claim 4, Infiniband teaches a sub-network (subnet) manager coupled to the interconnect device, the subnet manager configured to store a copy of the management key and to include the management key into a Subnet Management Packet (SMP) sent to the management port for a comparison with the management key stored in the management port (page 687-700).

Regarding claim 5, Infiniband teaches wherein the management port comprises: an initialization module to store the authentication data; a decoder to store a first copy of the authentication data; a management agent to store a second copy of the authentication data; and a processor subsystem interface to provide access to a storage device that stores a third copy of the authentication data (pages 61-114, 78-80, 641-700).

Regarding claim 6, Infiniband teaches wherein the decoder is configured to receive the reset signal from the configuration switch (page 641-700).

Regarding claim 7, Infiniband teaches wherein the decoder is configured to communicate the reset signal to any one of the initialization module, the management agent and the configuration interface (page 641-700).

Regarding claim 9, Infiniband teaches receiving a management packet from a sub-network (subnet) manager with an update value for the authentication data (pages 654-658); and setting the copy of the authentication data stored in the decoder to the update value (pages 641-700).

Regarding claim 10, Infiniband teaches the decoder communicating the reset signal to any one of an initialization module, a management agent and a processor subsystem interface; and resetting a corresponding copy of the authentication data upon receiving the reset signal at any one of the initialization module, the management agent and the processor subsystem interface (pages 641-700).

Regarding claim 11, Infiniband teaches wherein the authentication data is a management key (pages 641-700).

Regarding claims 13 and 24, Infiniband teaches wherein: the SMPs are virtual lane 15 (VL 15) packets (pages 61-114); and the authentication data is a management key (pages 641-700).

Regarding claims 14 and 25, Infiniband teaches wherein each SMP sent to the management port includes authentication data that matches authentication data residing in a decoder of the management port unless the authentication data residing in the decoder is set to a predetermined value (pages 641-700).

Regarding claims 15 and 26, Infiniband teaches wherein the authentication data is stored in the management port with a set of associated attributes, the set of associated attributes including a protection attribute specifying a level of protection required for performing a particular management operation and an expiration attribute controlling expiration of the authentication data (pages 641-700).

Regarding claims 16 and 27, Infiniband teaches wherein detecting that the reset is required comprises: sending a SMP containing a copy of the authentication data maintained by the subnet manager to the management port (pages 654-658); and receiving a trap indicating that the management port has invalidated the SMP due to a mismatch between the authentication data included the SMP and the authentication data maintained by the management port and further indicating that the expiration attribute is set to a value that prevents expiration of the authentication data (pages 641-700).

Regarding claims 17 and 28, Infiniband teaches wherein detecting that the reset is required comprises: sending an initial SMP containing a copy of the

authentication data maintained by the subnet manager to the management port; determining that a response to the initial SMP has not been received from the management port for a predefined time period; re-sending the initial SMP for a predetermined number of times without receiving a response; and determining that the failure to receive the response may be caused by a mismatch between the authentication data included in the initial SMP and the authentication data maintained by the management port (pages 247-254).

Regarding claims 18 and 29, Infiniband teaches wherein the update value is the value of authentication data stored in a database of the subnet manager (pages 654-658).

Regarding claims 19 and 30, Infiniband teaches wherein the management port stores multiple copies of the authentication data; and only one copy from the multiple copies has been reset in response to the operator command (pages 61-114, 78-80, 641-700).

Regarding claims 20 and 31, Infiniband teaches determining the update value for the update SMP (pages 61-114, 641-700).

Regarding claims 21 and 32, Infiniband teaches wherein determining the update value comprises: upon receiving the message indicating that the authentication data maintained by the management port has been reset, sending to the management port a read SMP requesting a current value of the authentication data maintained by the management port; receiving the current value of the authentication data maintained by the management port from the management port; designating the received value as the

Art Unit: 2136

with the received value (pages 641-700).

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

update value; and updating authentication data in a database of the subnet manager

examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571) 272-

5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am - 5:00 pm, off

on Wednesday.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

DGC

Ul 3/4/06

Page 11